UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, . Case No. 1:15-cr-012

Plaintiff,

. Motion Hearing

- v -

. Thursday, March 5, 2015

CHRISTOPHER LEE CORNELL, 8:32 PM

Defendant. . Cincinnati, Ohio

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SANDRA S. BECKWITH, SENIOR JUDGE

For the Plaintiff: TIMOTHY S. MANGAN, ESQ.

Assistant U.S. Attorney

United States Attorney's Office 221 East Fourth Street, Suite 400

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

For the Defendant: RICHARD W. SMITH-MONAHAN, ESQ.

Federal Public Defender's Office

Suite 350 Chiquita Center

250 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

For Fox 19-WXIX TV, MICHAEL K. ALLEN, ESQ.

et al.:

Michael K. Allen & Associates 810 Sycamore Street, Fourth Floor

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Also present: Deputy U.S. Marshal George Earls

Kevin Roach, News Director, Fox 19

Amy Wagner, Fox 19

Law Clerk: Patrick F. Smith, Esq.

Courtroom Deputy: Mary C. Brown

Court Reporter: Luke T. Lavin, RDR, CRR

PROCEEDINGS

(In open court at 8:32 PM.)

THE COURT: The courtroom deputy has not yet arrived, but court is in session and we should proceed.

I do have a copy of Mr. Smith-Monahan's motion. So since we're here on your motion, Mr. Smith-Monahan, I'll turn the floor over to you.

MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

We filed a motion this evening for an order to show cause and listed three individuals or entities, requesting the Court to require them to show cause as to why they should not be held in contempt of this Court's order. I'll give you a little bit of background about the case and then discuss the specifics as I know as to what has happened today.

This defendant -- Mr. Cornell -- obviously, I'm sure the Court is aware, has gotten a lot of media coverage. It's what the government has identified as a terrorism charge. Potential penalties that go along with this case are substantial that he is facing. My office represents him in this regard.

Early on in this case --

And I am second. I'm second chair type status in this case. Ms. Savir from our office is lead counsel on this matter. She is out of the country so is not available to deal with this today, so I'm here in her stead.

-- at a bond hearing in this matter, Ms. Savir requested on

2.0

behalf of Mr. Cornell to the magistrate judge at that time that no outside contact be permitted with Mr. Cornell, specifically in relation to the media. Because of the significant media coverage that this case was getting and because, you know, in this early court proceeding the courtroom was filled with media, there was a concern that the media may try to reach directly out to Mr. Cornell or have conversations with Mr. Cornell about the case, our office thought it was important, in order to protect his rights, that they not be permitted to have access to him.

Ms. Savir made that request of Magistrate Judge Bowman on January 16th, 2015. Judge Bowman issued an order --

And pardon me for using my computer. I didn't have printer access tonight, so I'm relying on everything electronically.

But on January 16, 2015, Judge Bowman issued an order. I'm assuming the Court has had the opportunity to review that order. In that order Judge Bowman essentially ordered no unauthorized outside contact with our client without express permission of my office and, specifically, counsel for Mr. Cornell.

In pertinent part that order reads: "Having considered the request" -- meaning our office's request -- "the Court hereby grants the request and orders that no one be permitted to visit or otherwise contact the defendant without the express approval of Mr. Cornell's attorney." So that was what Judge Bowman

ordered on January 16, 2015.

2.0

Now what we have learned has happened, we received -- Ms.

Savir, actually, received a voice mail message from a reporter with WXIX Fox News. Her name is Tricia Macke. She is now named in our motion for show cause. At approximately 10:45 last night Ms. Savir received a voice mail message from Ms.

Macke I believe essentially requesting to interview our client.

As I indicated, Ms. Savir was out of the country and happened to hear this voice mail. She tried to contact me, but it was late and I was actually indisposed at the time. So this morning when I received this information, I called the cellphone number for Tricia Macke that was left on Ms. Savir's voice mail. I did that at approximately 8:00 AM this morning.

I called the cellphone for Tricia Macke. It went to voice mail after ringing several times. I left a voice mail for Ms. Macke, notifying her that we had received her request. I indicated that our office was not authorizing any interview of Mr. Cornell. I, of course, notified her that my office was counsel for Mr. Cornell. I reminded Ms. Macke that there was a court order in place in this regard and that there was concern, if they did interview Mr. Cornell, it would violate this Court's order and again emphasized that we were not authorizing any interview of our client.

Later in the morning I contacted the marshals service to confirm that they were, obviously, aware of the court order and

2.0

that the Boone County Jail had been made aware of this court order. I spoke with two individuals with the marshals service and was assured that the Boone County Jail had been notified of this court order and that precautions were in place to make sure that the media was not going to go and have access to our client.

So I was contacted then -- I was reasonably assured at that point this interview was not going to occur. I was contacted by Mr. Mangan, the United States Attorney, the Assistant United States Attorney on this case. That was approximately, I'm not exactly sure, I want to say 5:45-ish Mr. Mangan and I talked. He sent an e-mail to me. I called him and spoke to him.

What Mr. Mangan notified me was that, I believe it's, the FBI or one of his investigative agencies was contacted by WXIX Fox News and notified that they had interviewed Mr. Cornell, that that interview was going to be played, in whole or in part, on Fox News, WXIX, tonight at 10:00 o'clock. I believe the news station inquired as to whether the federal investigative agency wanted to make any comment in that regard, and I do not believe they did. That investigator, whomever it was, notified Mr. Mangan of that situation, and Mr. Mangan contacted me to let me know about that.

After consultation within our office, I called the phone number I had for, I believe, Tricia Macke. At that point I was connected with -- and the individual's here.

And I'm sorry. Kevin Roach?

MR. ROACH: Yes.

2.0

MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: Who is news director --

MR. ROACH: Right.

MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: -- at WXIX. I informed him of the Court's order. I notified him that we were objecting to the station playing that report and that we believed that interview had been obtained in violation of the court order.

Mr. Roach referred me to their legal counsel, who is in Washington, D.C., a gentleman by the name of Jeff Kosseff, K-o-s-s-e-f-f. Mr. Roach, in brief, notified me that a consultation had already been had with Mr. Kosseff regarding the Court's order before my phone call and that they were, as I understood it, intending to proceed with the news broadcast.

I notified him that we may potentially be filing a motion for an order to show cause and/or a motion for a temporary restraining order to prevent them from playing the interview, as we felt it was in violation of the Court's order. I then attempted to contact Mr. Kosseff at, first, an office number, and then I was subsequently given a cellphone number. I was unable to reach him at that time. And I think the Court is then aware of my attempts to contact the courtroom deputy in order to get a hearing this evening, which we've achieved.

I did later hear from Mr. Kosseff in close proximity to the time of this hearing. I notified him of the hearing at 8:15,

2.0

and I believe the station has since contacted Mr. Mike Allen, a local attorney, who is present on behalf of the station.

So those are the facts as I understand them this evening. I'm a little unclear on some details. I don't know when this interview of my client actually occurred. I have inquired but have not received an answer to that question. I believe that interview was by telephone, is what I have been told, of my client, my client at the jail and this news reporter on some telephone.

I can say, in sum, the reporter appears to at least have been aware of the order because they contacted Ms. Savir to request permission. No express permission was given, and to the contrary, at 8:00 AM this morning I specifically notified this reporter in a voice mail that we were not authorizing this interview and reminded her of the existence of the order.

So we have done everything we reasonably could in our office to try to prevent this interview from happening. I don't know when it occurred. Again, I would be interested to find out that information: when this interview occurred. But given the contents of the Court's order and given the actions taken by WXIX Fox News in regard to this matter, we ask that the Court order the station, Fox News, and Tricia Macke to appear to show cause why they have not violated or should be held in contempt of this Court's order.

And also, as an alternative, we would ask the Court to

issue a temporary injunction or restraining order prohibiting this story from being played on the 10:00 o'clock news tonight because it is in violation of a direct order of -- because the information that would be played in that report was obtained in direct violation of an order of this Court.

I will also comment, obviously, our interest in this matter is Mr. Cornell and his constitutional rights related to this case. Mr. Mangan informed me that the news station informed him that Mr. Cornell, quote, gave a confession of some sort in this interview. So that is why, from our office's perspective, this is such an important matter.

Given the seriousness of the charges this individual is facing -- he's a very young man. I'm estimating he's 19, 20 years old and has been pursued, he and his family have been pursued by the media since the onset of this case. We're concerned about the circumstances. Obviously we're concerned about his Fifth Amendment rights, protecting his right to a fair trial. And given the violation of this Court's order, we ask the Court to intervene at this point to prohibit this information from becoming public and falling into the hands of an individual who can be subpoenaed to testify before this Court at this trial.

So given all of these circumstances, we ask the Court to impose some or all of the remedies we've requested this evening and in our motion. I was considering the possibility that the

Boone County Jail should not be included in this motion. I don't know if the jail facilitated this phone call or not or if they had any knowledge of this phone call. I do believe he is permitted to make phone calls from the jail just as a part of being an inmate there. So I have no actual knowledge that the jail facilitated this phone call. If they, in fact, did not, we would be inclined to orally move to remove them from the show cause motion.

THE COURT: Before we proceed further, I note that the member of the marshals service here who handles detention and jail liaison has some knowledge of this matter. And if need be, we could reach the lieutenant at the Boone County Jail who was in charge, apparently, at the time this event occurred. But perhaps it would be best if Marshal Earls would come forward.

And in order to appropriately do the formalities, Ms. Brown, if you would swear the marshal.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.

(George G. Earls is duly sworn by the courtroom deputy.)

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Please be seated.

GEORGE G. EARLS

a witness herein, having been previously sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

25

MR. ALLEN:

Please proceed.

Thank you.

A. He received a phone call from a reporter from Fox 19 News requesting, asking if they would permit an interview with Mr. Cornell. He stated that he did not -- he would not, that the Court order was in place and that they would have to contact the marshals and the attorney to have access.

A few hours later he received another phone call from the reporter asking if they would relay to Mr. Cornell a phone number, and which he agreed. He relayed the phone number to Mr. Cornell, and then Mr. Cornell made a phone call to the reporter.

THE COURT: Questions?

Mr. Smith-Monahan, would you like to ask anything of the marshal at this point?

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH-MONAHAN:

- Q. I don't know if this was answered but, Officer Earls, do you know when those phone -- were you advised as to when either of those phone calls from the reporter occurred?
- 19 A. No, he didn't state exactly the time.
- 20 Q. Pardon?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 21 A. He didn't state a time, exact time, for the reporter.
- 22 Q. Did you know if it was today or yesterday?
- 23 A. I couldn't state exactly when.
- MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: I don't think I have any further questions, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Mangan? 1 MR. MANGAN: Just very briefly. 2 **EXAMINATION** 3 BY MR. MANGAN: 4 5 Mr. Earls, in general can a person from the outside call the jail and initiate a phone call with an inmate? 6 7 No. Α. 8 Is it the inmate that has to initiate it with the jail authorities to make a call? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 MR. MANGAN: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Deputy, perhaps you could explain the arrangement at the Boone County Jail in connection with Mr. 13 Cornell's detention, in particular with regard to his access to 14 15 a telephone. 16 THE WITNESS: He has access from -- I confirmed with 17 Lieutenant Maydak -- from 7:00 AM to 12:00 PM, full access. 18 can make as many phone calls as he wants. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Allen? 20 Just a couple, Judge, and I think they'll 21 MR. ALLEN: 22 be similar to Mr. Mangan's questions. 23 **EXAMINATION** BY MR. ALLEN: 24 Mr. Earls, again, was your testimony that it's your belief 25

have been informed this is a reporter trying to have contact

25

```
1
    with Mr. Cornell?
        Yes.
 2
    Α.
        And the jail facilitated providing that reporter's phone
 3
    number to Mr. Cornell under those circumstances?
 4
 5
        According to what Lieutenant Maydak has told me, yes.
        And do you know what the jail official communicated to Mr.
 6
 7
    Cornell when providing him that phone number?
 8
       No. He just -- Lieutenant Maydak just told me that they
    gave him the number. That's all he told me. I didn't ask him
 9
10
    anything further about it.
    Q. Did they give you the name of the reporter that made the
11
12
    phone call to the jail?
        I can't recall what her name was. I'd have to call back
13
14
    and ask them.
        If you heard a reporter's name, would that perhaps --
15
        Yeah.
16
    Α.
17
        -- help you to remember? Was it a Tricia Macke?
    Q.
18
        Yes.
19
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN:
                                  Okay.
20
        I don't have any other questions, Your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: All right.
22
        Thank you. You can step down.
                         Judge, may I ask one, just as a follow-up?
23
             MR. ALLEN:
```

25

THE COURT:

Oh.

Go ahead.

EXAMINATION

```
1
    BY MR. ALLEN:
        Do you know -- and you may very well not know, but based on
 2
    your conversations with Lieutenant Maydak, do you know if this
 3
    reporter knew anything about this order that was put on by
 4
 5
    Magistrate Bowman?
 6
        No, I don't.
 7
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Thank you.
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: I have no further questions,
 8
    Judge.
 9
10
             THE COURT:
                         All right.
11
        Thank you, Deputy.
12
        (Witness excused.)
             THE COURT: Well, folks, I have to share with you that
13
    I saw the 6:00 o'clock, I guess, Channel 19 News where Ms.
14
    Macke announced that she would be playing this or parts of this
15
    interview, and during which time she played not once but twice
16
17
    a short snippet of the interview with what purported to be Mr.
18
    Cornell's voice talking about what he had wanted to do.
19
    while I can't give you verbatim, the upshot was he would like
    to shoot the President in the head, after which he would
2.0
    attempt to kill as many members of Congress as possible.
21
22
        Under those circumstances, I'm not entirely sure what's
    left to try to put back in Pandora's box. I simply pose the
23
24
    question.
                                  That's a good point. I did not
25
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN:
```

hear that broadcast. I was informed, roughly, that the snippet did occur.

Certainly if this case goes to trial, based on whatever the Court's determinations are as to whether the news station and this reporter have violated a Court order, we would be asking the Court to exclude that information so the jury did not hear it at trial. I think, for purposes of our case, that could resolve that aspect of it.

Again, our interest is merely to protect our client's trial rights. Whether the news station should be sanctioned for their conduct is a matter the Court can determine separately and independently. Our focus is to protect our client's rights.

Obviously, the more news that is played at this point about this confession, the more likely that potential jurors could hear it, which has the potential of tainting any possibility of a fair trial in this jurisdiction, depending on how many individuals listen to a news report at 10:00 o'clock tonight. And that may be an issue we visit, we revisit at the time of trial based on the snippets that were played already, but I think the potential is here for significant damage if that entire interview is played and depending on how much of the general public watches it at 10:00 o'clock tonight.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: So we would submit the issue

obviously is important and the Court should act.

Given what I've heard in the testimony from Officer Earls a few moments ago, I think we do need to continue to proceed with the Boone County Jail as a party to this, as a named -- "party" is not the right word, but being named in our motion as being required to show cause, because it does appear that the Boone County Jail was notified this was a reporter attempting to reach our client, and they did facilitate that happening.

So given the evidence you've heard, given our argument, we ask the Court to issue an order requiring Tricia Macke, WXIX

Fox News; WXIX Fox News as an entity, and the Boone County Jail to appear and show cause why they should not be held in contempt of this Court's order.

And we would ask the Court to issue a temporary injunction restraining order prohibiting Fox News from doing further damage by playing this interview at 10:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Allen?

MR. ALLEN: Yes, ma'am.

Judge, I just want to tell you that -- I'm not using this for an excuse -- I'm about an hour into this case as we speak.

But I understand the concepts, I think I understand the law, and am prepared to argue here. I just wanted the Court to know that.

Judge, I think the Court knows about prior restraints and

how important it is not to have prior restraints of the media. In every case that I can remember -- and I'm not going to tell the Court that I'm an expert in this area, because I'm not. But in almost every case that I have seen, prior restraints are almost always stricken down, ultimately, of course, by the United States Supreme Court. One case that comes to mind is Nebraska Press Association versus Stuart. I think in that case it was held to be unconstitutional, the prior restraint.

Judge, it's very clear that Fox 19 is not a part of this order, and I'm not trying to be flippant in saying that. No media outlet is a part of this order. Certainly Fox 19 is not. And it's not to demean the gravity of this and the seriousness of what was said.

And as a criminal defense lawyer, I certainly understand what Mr. Smith-Monahan is saying, and if it were my client, I'd be up here fighting for them too. However, the client ultimately made the decision to give this telephonic interview.

I think there should be no contempt of Ms. Macke or Fox 19, as they were not subject of this order, in my humble opinion, having been involved in this case for just a short period of time. And there should be no restraining order against them, because it is a prior restraint or would be a prior restraint of the news media at this point.

And I certainly agree with Mr. Smith-Monahan that, if anyone messed up here, it would be the Boone County Sheriff's

Office -- and I don't mean to demean them -- that they should be a part of this order and this motion. And they had to draft it quickly. I was fortunate enough to be able to look at it on the computer before it was printed out for me.

The other thing, Judge, honestly -- I just was thinking about this as we were sitting here. And again, not to demean the seriousness of this. I understand that that was put out and the Court heard it at 6:00 o'clock or 6:30. And, you know, if more were put out, more would be known by the public. But as this Court well knows from the many, many, many jury trials that it has had at the state and federal level, that there is a thing called a *voir dire* process, and during that *voir dire* process a juror can raise his or her right hand and swear that, whatever they heard in the media, they can disregard and render a fair and impartial verdict based upon what they hear from the witness stand and the law that's given to them by the judge.

So that could be a possible remedy. Again, having been in this for just a very short period of time, I don't think that the federal public defender's office has shown sufficient cause to hold any of those parties in contempt or to require the Court to restrain them from broadcasting anything further from that interview.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Allen, let me ask you this.

MR. ALLEN: Yes, ma'am.

```
1
             THE COURT: You say WXIX should not be included, but I
    assume that they have the tape, they have the ability and
 2
    intention of playing it tonight as a part of the 10:00 o'clock
 3
 4
    news.
 5
             MR. ALLEN:
                         They want to, Judge. Yes, ma'am.
             THE COURT: And if Ms. Macke were sitting in jail
 6
 7
    tonight, it would probably run anyway, unless I restrained them
 8
    as well as --
 9
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Yes, ma'am.
10
                         -- the jail.
             THE COURT:
                         That's what I was going to say. If you
11
             MR. ALLEN:
12
    say not to play it, I don't think they're going to play it.
             THE COURT: Do you have the authority to assure the
13
    Court that they will not play it until we've had a hearing?
14
15
             MR. ALLEN: If Your Honor would permit me just a few
    minutes to talk to the news director, I could give you an
16
17
    answer to that.
18
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
19
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Thank you.
20
             THE COURT:
                         Do you need a brief recess?
21
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Yes, ma'am, if I may. Just a few minutes.
22
             THE COURT:
                         All right.
                                    We'll take a brief recess.
23
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Thank you.
24
             COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This court is now in
25
    recess.
```

(Recess taken: 9:00 PM - 9:12 PM.)

THE COURT: Mr. Allen, what do you have to say?

MR. ALLEN: Your Honor, I just had a little bit of factual clarification. If the Court would just indulge me.

Just four major points I want to clarify for the record, that I just learned.

Your Honor, Mr. Cornell, as I understand it, is the one that called Fox 19 last night at 10:00 PM. Obviously, it wasn't the other way around. He actually called them back. He -- Mr. Cornell -- called Fox 19 back two times after the initial call.

The only action, Judge -- and I think you know this from the testimony that took place -- that Fox 19 took was to give their phone number to the jail to give to Mr. Cornell.

And the only other thing, Judge -- and I'm not going to beat this horse because I know the Court knows the law -- but this is a matter of great public concern, Your Honor. And courts must be very careful to impose any type of prior restraint upon a media outlet. And I know the Court knows that, and I think the overwhelming majority of the reported cases back that up.

Judge, getting to the gist of what your question was, I did talk to the representatives of Fox 19 and, obviously, if the Court orders that they not broadcast the story tonight, they will not do so. And I think that's what the Court was looking

```
1
    for, the answer to the question, if I'm not mistaken.
                         Well, Mr. Allen --
 2
             THE COURT:
 3
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Yes, ma'am.
             THE COURT: -- my question is really are they willing,
 4
 5
    for lack of a better term, as a gesture of good faith to
    withhold airing this tape until there can be a hearing on the
 6
 7
    subject of contempt. If not, if they are unwilling to
 8
    voluntarily do that, then I'll just have to take the action
    that I feel is appropriate and constitutional in the situation.
 9
10
                                I understand, Judge. This time
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Okay.
11
    just give me 30 seconds.
12
        (Mr. Allen and Mr. Roach confer privately.)
                         Judge, again, I spoke with the appropriate
13
             MR. ALLEN:
    representative of Fox 19, and they said that they will not air
14
15
    it right now, subject to relitigating the issue at an
16
    appropriate time.
17
                                I've wandered off without my
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
18
    iPhone, but Ms. Brown has the schedule.
19
        When might we convene a hearing on, the full proper hearing
    on Mr. Smith-Monahan's motion for an order to show cause?
20
    would need to have Ms. Macke present. We would need to have
21
22
    the lieutenant from Boone County Jail.
        Mr. Mangan, advise me. Boone County, Kentucky, we can
23
24
    still issue a subpoena, or do we -- can we reasonably expect
    that he would appear voluntarily?
25
```

```
MR. MANGAN: I believe we can issue a subpoena, Your
 1
    Honor.
 2
             THE COURT:
 3
                         Okay.
                         Judge, for what it's worth, Mr. Roach, the
             MR. ALLEN:
 4
 5
    news director from Fox 19, would understandably prefer that
    hearing to be sooner rather than later. And I understand the
 6
 7
    Court's docket as well.
             THE COURT: 9:00 AM tomorrow morning I can do it, as
 8
 9
    long as we can get the subpoenas served.
10
             MR. ALLEN:
                         That works for us, Judge.
                         Okay. Mr. Mangan and Mr. Smith-Monahan,
11
             THE COURT:
12
    how are you for that?
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: Judge, I have a 9:30 tomorrow
13
    morning before Judge Barrett, and I am otherwise free.
14
15
             THE COURT: How long is your hearing with Judge
    Barrett likely to take?
16
17
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: It's a plea hearing, so 30
18
    minutes-ish.
19
             THE COURT: Does 10:00 o'clock work for everybody
    tomorrow?
2.0
             MR. ALLEN: Yes, ma'am, that would work for Fox 19.
21
22
             MR. MANGAN:
                         If not me, Your Honor, we'll make sure
    someone's here, obviously.
23
        In terms of the individual at the jail, it's your request
24
    that we issue a subpoena to the jailer to be here tomorrow at
25
```

```
10:00.
 1
            Is that --
                               Unless the deputy feels that he
 2
             THE COURT: Yes.
    could call him and have him appear voluntarily.
 3
             U.S. DEPUTY MARSHAL EARLS: I can give him a call to
 4
 5
    see.
                         Then the other question is: shall we have
 6
             THE COURT:
 7
    Mr. Cornell present. It seems if he has a different version of
 8
    what transpired, you may want him to speak.
        Mr. Smith-Monahan, he's your client.
 9
10
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: I believe it would be prudent to
    have the defendant here, Your Honor, given the fact that it's
11
12
    his substantial rights.
             THE COURT:
13
                         Okay.
14
        Can we do that, Deputy?
15
             U.S. DEPUTY MARSHAL EARLS: Yes, Your Honor.
                         All right.
16
             THE COURT:
                                     10:00 o'clock tomorrow
17
    morning, hearing on the motion for order to show cause.
                                                              And we
18
    have an agreement from Fox News that the taped interview
19
    between the defendant, Mr. Cornell, and reporter, Ms. Macke,
    will not be aired until at least after that hearing.
2.0
21
             MR. ALLEN: I understand that, Judge.
22
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
23
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Just --
24
        I'm sorry. Go ahead.
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: Go ahead.
25
```

```
1
             MR. ALLEN: One thing that we just want some
    clarification on. I think I know the answer to this, Judge.
 2
    There is nothing that would prohibit, no order from the Court
 3
    that would prohibit Fox 19 reporting that this hearing took
 4
 5
    place tonight? Again, without airing anything with respect to
    the interview.
 6
 7
             THE COURT:
                         Absolutely. This is an open hearing.
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Okay.
 8
             THE COURT: You can get a transcript --
 9
10
                         I understand.
             MR. ALLEN:
             THE COURT: -- from Mr. Lavin. And unless something
11
12
    remarkable happens between now and 10:00 o'clock tomorrow, I
    expect that hearing will probably be open as well.
13
14
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN:
                                 I understand, Judge.
15
             THE COURT: I'm not hearing any requests to the
16
    contrary.
17
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: No requests, Your Honor, in that
18
    regard.
19
        I do have two issues to address to you at the appropriate
    time.
20
21
             THE COURT: Yes.
                               Please.
22
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN:
                                 I assume this would not happen,
    but in an abundance of caution, and focusing on our interest in
23
    this matter, I would request that Fox 19 make a similar
24
    indication to the Court that that tape is secure containing my
25
```

client's interview and it would not be divulged to any other party who could disclose it.

At this point it may be subject to an order of this Court that prohibits it from ever being released. So I just want to make sure it is secure until the time of our hearing tomorrow.

MR. ALLEN: Judge, I've just been assured by Mr. Roach, the news director, that there will be no problem with that.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you so much. I appreciate everyone's --

Yes, Mr. Smith-Monahan.

MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: I have one other issue. I'm sorry.

I've actually been notified by Ms. Savir by e-mail during the course of this hearing that she has now received an e-mail from WCPO, another news outlet in town, who apparently saw this 6:00 o'clock snippet. They are now requesting to interview our client given that they believe that access has been allowed to WXIX.

I've addressed this to the marshal, and to the extent the Court can assist, I am asking everything within our power to ensure that that Boone County Jail does not facilitate another reporter being able to get information to my client to try to communicate with him.

THE COURT: Well, let's get a little clarification on

1 the record. Marshal, as I understand it, defense counsel asked that Mr. 2 Cornell have the ability to make phone calls out of the jail. 3 U.S. DEPUTY MARSHAL EARLS: (Nods head up and down.) 4 5 As long as he seems to want to engage in THE COURT: behaviors that are, for lack of a better term, self-6 7 destructive, I'm not sure what the solution is. Mr. Smith-Monahan, I'm happy to entertain whatever thoughts 8 9 you have in that regard. 10 MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: As I understand the facts, this was instigated by a reporter from Fox 19 News calling the jail 11 12 and requesting that the jail notify my client that they wished to talk to him and asking that the jail pass a phone number to 13 Apparently that was done. Otherwise I don't believe my 14 15 client would have had any idea how to call this reporter. We simply ask, given the order of the Court at this point, 16 17 that someone be instructed, to ensure that does not happen 18 again, that the jail is not facilitating reporters reaching out 19 to our very young client to try to encourage him to speak with the media. 2.0 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 Marshal, can we do that with the Boone County Jail? 23 U.S. DEPUTY MARSHAL EARLS: I'll do it right after 24 this hearing, Judge. THE COURT: 25 Okay. I presume that you would prefer

that your client not receive notes with phone numbers of anyone of any kind without that person's contact information having been cleared with defense counsel. Is that a fair statement?

I assume some man on the street could call the jail and say, "Would you mind giving my phone number to Mr. Cornell and ask him to call me."

MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: The reason -- I will tell you that we've tried -- we've tried to get the jail to ask clients to call us at our office, and that request has been denied. I was shocked to hear that a reporter was able to do this.

We've had difficulty reaching our own clients in that fashion. So I believe the jail had a -- and I don't know about the Boone County Jail, but I know the Butler County Jail won't do that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: So I would expect they would already have that policy. But there shouldn't be anyone -- my client's family knows how to reach him. And other than my client's family and our office, from our view, there shouldn't be anyone else needing to contact him.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: I think the jail keeps record of who's on the defendant's call list. I think they have to notify the jail of individuals they may call. So he should have a call list at the jail of people that he's authorized to

```
1
    talk to on the phone. So I would just expect there wouldn't be
    anyone else, other than the authorized call list, putting in
 2
    requests for him to call.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. Well, the short version is that the
 4
 5
    jail is not to pass on contact information, telephone or
    otherwise, to Mr. Cornell from media outlets.
 6
 7
        Is that fair enough, or are you asking for something more
 8
    broad?
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: That's fair enough, Your Honor.
 9
10
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: Till tomorrow, and then we can
11
    address the issue.
12
             THE COURT: Yes, until tomorrow.
13
14
        Anything else, counselors?
15
             MR. ALLEN: No, ma'am.
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN: Nothing further, Your Honor.
16
17
    we very much appreciate your time this evening.
             THE COURT: Well, thank you for dropping everything
18
19
    and coming in, and we'll sort it out tomorrow.
20
             MR. ALLEN:
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
21
             MR. SMITH-MONAHAN:
                                 Thank you, Your Honor.
22
             MR. MANGAN:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
             COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This court is now
23
    adjourned.
24
        (At 9:24 PM the hearing was recessed, to be continued on
25
```

1 Friday, March 6, 2015, at 10:00 AM.) 2 CERTIFICATE 3 I, Luke T. Lavin, RDR, CRR, the undersigned, certify 4 5 that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 6 7 8 s/Luke T. Lavin Luke T. Lavin Official Court Reporter 9 10 11 INDEX O F WITNESSES 12 WITNESS: PAGE GEORGE G. EARLS 13 Examination by the Court 10 Examination by Mr. Smith-Monahan 14 11 Examination by Mr. Mangan 12 15 Examination by Mr. Allen 12 Examination by Mr. Smith-Monahan 13 Examination by Mr. Allen 16 15 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25